G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891–1892
~~191~~

File No.

Serial Nos.

( )

Later references.

L.S. 33-40 (11, 14, 20, 27, 30)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 8

Part B for November

SUBJECT.

Restoration of the jodi village of Sonangi-palya, Tumkur Taluk.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Commissariat

Memo.

Subject

Correspondence Endorsg with Letter No 14 dated 5th July 1892 from the Commr of the Southern Division.

Sir, regard to the recto-ration of the godi village of Sarangipalya Halmur Hobli Sunkur Taluk, Sunkur District, to Savitramma, widowed daughter-in-law of the late godidar Hanumanthachar.

2. The said village was granted by H. H. the late Mahakaja to Hanumantha-char as a godi Inam on the 17th of January 1814. Hanu--manthachar was in posses--ion and enjoyment of the godi village for many years and was paying the godi &c., due to Government. Some 2 years back the said Hanumanthachar & his daughter-in-law Sa-vitramma went on a pil-grimage to Benares and lived there for a long time. During their absence at Benares, one Gundachar was in possession of the godi-village and was managing the affairs thereof, as the authorized agent of the godidar

* Vide original Inam Sanad, enclosed to be marked in this Serial ①

godidar. At the time of the Inam Settlement, the godi village was resumed to Govt. on the ground that the original Inamdar granted by H.H. the late Maha Raja was not produced. Savitramma after her return from Kavaratti, learnt that the godi village had been resumed to Govt and was under Govt management. She thereupon petitioned the Inam Supdt. on the 11th of April 62 praying that the godi village be restored to her on the former Inam tenure, as she had lost her father-in-law and was childless.

3 The Inam Supdt. referred the matter to Govt and solicited sanction for the restoration of the godi village, as desired by Savitramma.

vide his letter No. 419 dt. 25th March 62

4 Thereupon the Inam Kur. Deputy Commr was called to give his opinion in the matter.

vide Govt order No. 12301/227 dt. 2nd Feb 62

5 The Deputy Commr stated that there was no objection to the restoration of the godi village / arrangement to the godidar Savitramma.

vide Dy. Commr's No. 836 dt. 13th Feb 62

Vide Chief Secy's
Docket No. 1506 B
271
24th March/92

6. The Deputy Commr was again asked to submit a Statement showing the annual revenue derived from the lands which formed the Jodi village, and the cost of the detailed Survey and Settlement which was introduced into the Jodi village on its resumption; and to report whether the Jodidar was prepared to pay the cost of the Settlement and whether the ryots agreed to the proposed restoration of the village to the Jodidar.

7. The Deputy Commr in reply to the above, submits the Statement called for in the above* & states that the authorized agent of the Jodidar is prepared to pay the cost of the Settlement & that the ryots now holding lands are willing to the restoration of the village to the Jodidar.

Submitted for orders.

3/8/92

The village in question was granted to Hanumanta Rao in 1814 on a Jodi of Rs. 11.10.3.

| Total of Survey Numbers | 16 | | |

The Representative of the (Savitramma)
Mandar failed to appear at
the Inam Settlement and
it was then reported that she
had gone off her head. The
Inam Com^r accordingly recommended
the village with the remark
that "the case can be specially
reconsidered should she (Savitramma)
be really insane and at any
time recover from her insanity."
As a matter of fact, Savitramma
had gone to Banares at the time
of the Inam Settlement. She
returned to Mysore about 3 years
ago. She was never insane.
She has no issue, but has
empowered one Subba Sastry
to take steps for the restoration
of the village and dispose
of it as he likes.

Mauza' for a stay

After resumption of the
village by Govt, the lands of
the Jodi village were incorporated
with those of the neighbouring
village of Doddaharavi and
a detailed survey settlement
were introduced into it at a cost
of Rs 67. 6. 0 – Lands assessed
at Rs 87 – 12 – 0 are now under
occupation. The Govt are agreeable
to the restoration of the village to the
Jodidar's representative and his
Agent Subbasastry is prepared to

may the west of the S.W. Branch
the lands of the Jodi village
are in a compact block
and can be easily separated
from those of Dadda Sawanji
with whom they have
been amalgamated at
present.

Pune
5/8/92

Rec'd Enquiries (Rev)
for Mrs. Colquhoun
May be authorised to
receive the case and
date it as if the Memorial
had been received on the
date of the
Memorial. No Govt interests
are known to be involved
and Government
will be free
from liability in the
matter. Mrs Colquhoun the
Petitioner appears in
person to support the
Petition and read
out the Memorial.

Otto
7/8/92

(1) Gen
Ud
10/8/92
Ud

(2)
Sec Jud
Pers
Ex
Ud

Sec J
Sec
Ex
Ud

Rec'd
(1) Translation of Petition
(2) Petitioner's Memorial

Recd 13/8 P.D.O.

(1) Draft Rules attached.

(2) The cost of introducing the Survey & Settlement into Inam Villages is generally borne by Government, but when an Inamdar declines the Settlement, the cost is recovered from him. (Please See correspondence Ending with Govt. Pro: No. 3983-4/56 dated 24th July 1899).

In cases however, in which Inam Villages are taken under ~~Govt~~ Government management for the recovery of revenue arrears due thereon, the cost of the introduction of a Revenue Survey & Settlement is recovered from the Surplus profits of the Village concerned, if remitted. [illegible]

27/8

× Vide para 6 of Notification No 2027 / dt 15th June/83 printed with Govt. Pro: No 4349-55/77 dt 15th June/83.

(1)

1892. 10341
138

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar
to
The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore

Dated Civil Station
Bangalore the 30th January
1892.

Received the 1st February
1892.

Enclosures: No. 2 &
Register
of 1891-92.

Subject: Question of the ownership
of Government [illegible] trees on
[illegible] lands of the Jodi Villages of Channapatna,
Halkur hobli, of Sarakur
Taluk, for the Revenue Officers
in the Channapatna Taluk.

Submitted.
The lands of the Jodi villages
have been surveyed as to
Rejoto. The total area as
found by the Survey Depte
is Acres 199 - 32 of which

50 - 1. are formal land,
10 . 4. Public Roads,
118 . 18 assessed at Rs 28 - 1st sort and
4 125 . 9 assessed at Rs 22 - 2nd sort

MM
27. 1. 92

Surveys Reserved
for the use of the Deputy
Inspector for his Register
Register of Villages and the
Village Map alluded

DC
28/1/92

Draft Solid
DD
2/2/92

Regd & returned.
MM
26/1/92.

(No 8)

Memo.

1. Vide the correspondence ending with Agent Talok's report No 241/261 dated the 30th September 1891.

2. The Chiefs named, viz. Kanaanaikai, Kaupikake-i = Lau & Kamaeaehuaka, the original Grantee of the Jodi Village & Kaimajiulya Kaluna Iokeli (formerly of Ewa) Kaaiai Talo Kaaiai Talo, in not having the original Award granted by the late Queen Kapiolani, com = plain that her Award Jodi Village was retained at the time of Grant Etc. Etc. from the ground that there were Akua from the Village, and state that the rent due to Government on Village land, should be paid to the original Grantee, and request the return = due of the Akua to former times.

3. The Palapa Fishing Ground granted by Victoria Kapiolani to Kaiaka Kaipuaeha, dated 29th January 1874 to Kanaanaikai, re = issued, payment of Jodi & Kaimajiulya forgotten (= 47-10-3). —

4. Please give attention to account of the

Jodi dated

Jetitioner, received on 2nd September 1891, and subsequently returned by Central Code, on 3rd August 1891, the Petition "that the case can be specially re-considered, should the petitioner (the petitioner) be really insane, at any time recover her sanity."

(See his remarks in Col. 2 of the 2nd)

5. The Applicant's Counsel, and the Respondent's Counsel were heard, after which the petitioner is reading, as I am not now, on account of the petition (See Applicant's paper No. 234/230 dated 4th September 1891, and its enclosures)

6. Although the Petition in question was received in detail, and interpreted with the said Petition of Charge, the former containing just directions of the Court can easily be traced in the Page - The Charge No. from 28 to 32 of the said Petition dated comprised the said Petition's reference (See the Impugned Order No. 236/195 dated 9th August 1891.)

7 Under the above circumstances, the Petitioner is held, according to the Rules, having been granted by Competent Authority, and also Approved by Chief

And

V. 75

/ 1892 K.

Mr. Sanitary Inspector

report ~~typhoid~~ cases

in the Village reported

to me since Supt's

memorandum.

Dft 2/2

Chief Secty.

To Medical Officers

Sanitary Districts.

Dft 2-2-92

4

No 12581

207

R

2. Forwarding for report cases and fatal of typhoid reported since 26th January 1892, from which date Sanitary Inspectors appointed in connection with Sanitary districts, Sanitary Authority requesting cases of typhoid in village

(21)

Read:—

(7)

Letter from the Superintendent of Indian Settlements in Mysore, dt. 24 of the 25th January 1922, Soliciting Sanction for the revision of the Indian Settlement in connection with the Jodi Pillay of Sanjeyadurga in the Trichinopoly Taluk.

Correspondence. No. 234 dt. 2-2-22
227 4

Ordered that the Memo: and the file of papers received with the foregoing letter be forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of the Trichinopoly District for his remarks and report

(2 to file 1/Pages)

(General Serial No. 30.)

1/1368
583

189/92.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Government of Mysore

Dated Tumkur 3rd February 1892.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 5 & file

General No.

No. 835.

Sir, In reference to the Government order No. 2171/227 of the 2nd February 1892, calling for a report in the matter of the restoration of Sri Sarangapaiya, to the Sri Sailam Savitramma, I have the honour to state that there is no objection to the restoration.

2. The Huzur Superintendent's memo with his letter, the Government order, correspondence, the religious Sanad and the Huzur Register received herewith the above rolls are returned and will be as well as the village map of Sri Sarangapatna which the Sri Village was incorporated. The Sri Village has been marked in blue pencil.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner.

B.3
22-2-92

R

4

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

To The Dy. Commr.
Tanjore Distt.

Dated 29
Despatched 29 March 1892
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 15056
No. 271

Acd. letter No. 835 of the 13th February 1892, stating that there is no objection to the restoration of the rights of Sarangapāṇi in the Tanjore Taluk to the Jaidar Sankaramma.

With reference to the above, request that the Dy. Commr. will be so good as to submit a statement showing the annual revenue derived from the lands which formed the Jodi villages, and the cost of the detailed survey and settlement which

was introduced into it
on its resumption. He
will also report whether
the Indian is prepared
to pay the cost of
the settlement and whether
the Agent in charge the lands
are agreeable to the proposed
location of the village to the
Indian -

R.O.

Mrn

24/3/12

OFFICE OF THE
132
6 - JUL 92
DEWAN
OF MYSORE

General Postal Notice.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

(10)

To The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore the 5th July 1892

Despatched 5th July 1892

Received 6th July 1892

Enclosures No. -

General No.
119.

Chief Secretary's docket No. 1655
of the 5th March 1892

Furnishes the further
particulars called for Re-
garding the restoration of
Saraswathipuram in the Sankey
Tank to the original channel
Saritramma.

Rec'd 6/7/92.

Rec'd 11-7-92

No: 119.

Land Revenue Office
Deputy Commissioner's
Camp Gondia 9th Aug. 92.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Bengal.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo
No: 1006 of the 24th March 92 I have
the honor to forward



Total of Number.	Cultivable waste				Land holding			Cultivable waste		Land under waste or Miscellaneous		Total		Area of Forest Survey and Settlement	
	Fallow		Current		Fallow		Current	Fallow	Current	Grass & Forest	Waste	Occupied	Unoccupied		
	A.	G.	A.	G.	A.	G.	A.	A.	A.	A.	A.	A.	A.	A.	G.
16	28	21	12	6	3				8	50	10	19	6	07	1

for further particulars regarding the details of the Land Revenue Settlement which I have the honor to submit herewith.

Assumption, and to state that the authorized agent of the Jemidar is prepared to pay the cost of the settlement and that the Ryots now holding the land are willing to the restoration of the Village to the Jodidar.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner

(11)

Read:—

Letter No 49 dated 25th January 1892 from the Superintendent, Inam Settlements in Mysore, requesting orders for the restoration on Inam tenure, of the Jodi Village of Sarangipalya in the Gundlupet Taluk, which was resumed to Government during the Inam Settlement and incorporated with the lands of the neighbouring Village of Doddasarangi in the same Taluk.

Also. - Correspondence ending with letter No 119 of the 5th July 1892 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Gundlupet District.

No 5000-1
R.D 114-92. 17/10/92

Order.— Under the circumstances

brought to notice, the
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements is authorized
to reopen the case and settle
it as if the claimant (Savithramma)
had appeared and produced
her title deeds at the Inam
Settlement.

Chief Secretary.

R. B

To the Superintendent
Inam Settlements in Mysore
& Dr. Comm^r Southern Distt.

1892 4766.

R 1219

From

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

2

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore.

Dated 4th November
Despatched 5th October
Received.

Enclosure No.

No. 40 of 1892-93

With reference to Government Proceedings No 5, dated the 17th ultimo, R.T. 1219-23 I have the honor to request the return of the original Enclosure sent with this office Endt. No 49 dated 25th January last, to enable the undersigned to register and dispose of the case under the Inam Proceedings.

Supdt

Chief Inam Settlement

Rev Return
Mar 5/94

Draft for
Regn

No 6699
R 3114—92 W.
12—11—92.

To

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

Dated

His letter No 40 dated
4th instant, requesting
the return of the
original ~~enclosures~~ papers
submitted with his
No 49 dated 25th Janry
1892, in re the reg-
istration of the Jodi
village of Sarangi-
Kaleya, Tumkur Taluq,

Have the honor to return
the ~~enclosures~~ papers referred
to in the above paper
Enclosed list.

By order

Date — 12/11/92

WD Under Secretary

List of Vernacular papers received with the Supt. of Inam Settlement's docket Nº: 49 dt/ 28th January 1892.

Sri C No.	Letter No:	Date	From whom	To whom
1	44	3/4/90	Savithramma.	Supt. of Inam Settlements.
2.	Copy of a Sanad dated 17th January 1814 granted to Savithramma's ancestor Lakshmi-ram, by the late Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.			
3.	90/2	15/5/90.	Amildar of Gubbi	Supt. of Inam Settlements
4	331	4/9/90	Subbarayudu.	Supt. of Inam Settlements
5.	Copy of Mokthyarnama dt 28th August 1890 executed by Savithramma in favor of Subbarayudu.			
6.	149	4/10/90.	Amildar of Tumkur	Shirastdar of Gubbi
7.	Deposition of Venkatamma, Muktesar of Saranga dt. 24th February 1891.			
8.	677/3	31/1/91.	Amildar of Tumkur.	Supt. of Inam Settlements
9.	List showing the extent and assessment of certain Nos in the Jodi village of Saranjipalya.			
10	236.	9/8/91.	Amildar of Tumkur.	Supt. of Settlements
11	Copy of a Sanad dt 17th Jan: 1814 granted to Hanumanthaiah by the late Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.			
12.	Deposition of Channiahchetti, before the amildar of Nagara, dt. 10th Sept 1891.			
13	Do - of Channiahamoorthi &c dt 3/9/91.			

	Serial No.	Letter No.	Letter Date	From whom	To whom
	14.	762/99.	26.3.91.	Guide Bureau of Mysore.	Supt. Census Operations
	15.	29	15/9/91.	Supt. Census Operations	Guide Bureau of Mysore
	16.			Register of Census in Kannada Language	Register of Census in Kannada Language
	17			Register of Census Village of Sarangipalem.	
				Deputy Superintendent	Under Secretary

9 Nos.

(No. 8)

Memo.

1. Vide the correspondence ending with Mysore Taluk, amil's report No. 621/261, dated the 30th. September 1891.

2. One Savitramma, widowed daughter-in-law of Hanumanthachar, the original grantee of the Jodi village of Saringipalya Halmur hobli (formerly of Kadak Taluk Toonkur Taluk, in producing the original Sanad granted by the late Maha Raja, complains that her above said Jodi Village was resumed at the time of Inam Settlement on the ground that she was absent from the village, and states that she had been to Benares on pilgrimage, and returned only two years ago, and requests the restoration of the same on former tenure.

3. The Palya in question was granted by His late Highness the Maha Raja on 17 January 1814 to Hanumanthachar, on an annual payment of a Jodi of 4 Kanteraji pagodas (R. a. p. = 11-10-3).—

4. It was first attached on account of the

Jodidars